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Abstract
Background: Determining the gap in the quality of educational services, followed by the adoption of appropriate strategies for eliminating or reducing the existing obstacles, is considered the first basic step in developing quality improvement programs for universities. Therefore, this study was conducted to evaluate the quality of educational services of Iranian medical universities.

Methods: This study was a systematic review and a meta-analysis based on the SERVQUAL evaluation pattern, and data collection was done through accessing published articles in reputable academic websites inside and outside Iran including and searching 4 keywords “the quality of educational services, SERVQUAL Evaluation Model, educational service gap, and Iran” without time and language restrictions. The CMA software, version 2.2.064, was used for data analysis.

Results: There was a negative gap in all 5 dimensions. The average gap in all the dimensions was -1.335 out of the maximum 5 (responsiveness = -1.463, assurance = -1.367, tangibility = -1.364, empathy = -1.297, and reliability = -1.091).

Conclusion: Based on the results of this study, it can be claimed that students are not satisfied with the current state of the quality of the educational services provided, and we are far away from achieving the ideal state and winning their full satisfaction. The differences observed among the 5 dimensions of the quality of educational services can be used as a guide for planning and allocating resources. Iranian medical science universities should try to pay their most attention to the dimensions that have the widest gaps and place them at the top of their priorities.
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What’s Known
• One of the important criteria for assessing the quality of educational services in any university is the students’ opinions. A look at the results of research carried out inside and outside Iran reveals a quality gap in all or some of the 5 dimensions of services: responsiveness, assurance, tangibility, empathy, and reliability (based on the SERVQUAL).

What’s New
• There was a negative gap in all 5 dimensions, and the expectations of the students were beyond their perception of the status quo.
• The average gap in all the dimensions was -1.335 out of the maximum 5 (responsiveness = -1.463, assurance = -1.367, tangibility = -1.364, empathy = -1.297, and reliability = -1.091).

Introduction
One of the manifestations of the ancient civilization of Iran is the attitude toward medical science as reflected by the establishment and development of the University of Gondishapur in the region of the current Khuzestan Province of Iran about 1745 years ago.1 2 The sustainable development of a higher education system, which is a dynamic system, requires balanced and
The quality assessment of educational services is one of the most important measures to improve the quality of these services. If we do not take into account the quality of educational services, we will see a marked decline in education quality and a reduction in the learners’ creativity. Hence, one of the essential steps in augmenting the quality of educational programs is to evaluate the quality of services from the perspective of the users. Quality in any academic system can be regarded from various dimensions. One of the factors determining the quality of the services provided by a university is the satisfaction of students’ expectations of the educational services. Students are regarded as any educational system’s clients and play a major role in evaluating its performance and educational activities, and because of their specific mental and social conditions, students tend to look at educational issues with a different perspective, which may be strange and unfamiliar to university officials and their colleagues. Therefore, in order to investigate the problems and barriers in the provision of educational services, we should be informed about students’ opinions and coordinate the existing physical and intellectual forces to remove obstacles and bring about students’ satisfaction.

In this regard, a student seeking to acquire knowledge has a prominent role in promoting the university’s higher goals, which means maintaining and increasing human health. Assessing students’ viewpoints is one of the essential elements in evaluating the quality of educational services provided by the university, and the results obtained from such surveys are an important factor in upgrading the future performance of these institutions. One model that describes the current situation and the expected status of the quality of educational services is the SERVQUAL evaluation model. It determines the existing gaps in the educational services in terms of students’ viewpoint based on 5 dimensions, namely reliability, assurance, tangibles, empathy, and responsiveness. This model has been used to assess the quality of educational services in some Iranian medical universities (Kebriaei et al., Arbouni et al., Aghamolaei et al., Mohammadi et al., Tofighi et al., Abbasiyan et al., Bahadori et al., Khademloo et al., Goharinezhad et al., Enayati et al., Rasoolabadi et al., Haresabadi et al., Kavosi et al., Shams et al., Rahim Khanli et al., Mohebbifar et al., Khandan et al., Seyedaskari et al., Yarmohammadian et al., Jafarinejad et al., Gholami et al., Nabilou et al., Nabilou et al., Yasbolagi et al., Asefi et al., Ghavimi et al., Nekoei-Moghadam et al., and Jafar Asl et al.). In order to make constructive changes in any educational system, there is a need for descriptive information about the status quo and knowledge of the students’ attitude toward the quality of the provided services. By using this information, we can increase the satisfaction of the students while reinforcing positive factors and correcting negative factors. Determining the gaps in the quality of educational services, followed by the adoption of appropriate strategies for eliminating or reducing them, is deemed the first basic step in developing quality improvement programs for universities.
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and searching 4 keywords “the quality of educational services”, “SERVQUAL evaluation model”, “educational service gap”, and “Iran” without time and language restrictions. Initially, 285 related studies were found, of which, 26 papers (20 Farsi papers and 6 English papers) which were completely related to the scope of our study were used. The articles encompassed descriptive and cross-sectional articles performed in educational settings in Iran and published in English or Farsi and they reported the mean score of students' perception and expectation of the quality of educational services (figure 1). Studies irrelevant to the scope of our study were excluded by 2 expert reviewers in the field. Afterward, the abstracts and full-text articles that met the inclusion criteria of our study were finally chosen. A third reviewer was invited in order to alleviate the inter-reviewer disagreements. Proceedings papers, case reports, and interventional studies were excluded from our study.

In order to organize and assess the titles and abstracts, and to identify duplicate studies, we employed Endnote X5. In addition to the articles accessed by the method mentioned above, review articles dealing with the quality of educational services and the reference lists of articles that were relevant to the scope of our study were also manually searched to obtain more articles.

Assessment of Study Quality
The study quality was assessed by 2 reviewers using ‘Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology’ (STROBE) checklist. 41, 42

Data Extraction
The data were extracted by 2 reviewers using a standard data collection form including the following items: author’s name, date of implementation, setting, sample and sample size, mean score of the dimensions of educational services quality, and significant factors (table 1).

Statistical Methods and Data Analysis
Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) software, version 2.2.064, was used to analyze the data. In order to obtain the average gap in the extracted educational services (for each dimension separately and overall) from the studies and a total average at a 95% confidence interval (for each dimension separately and in general), we utilized the random effects model. This model simultaneously takes into account the inter and intra changes of the studies. Q Cochrane statistics were used to evaluate the heterogeneity of the results of various studies, and the degree of heterogeneity between the studies was evaluated using the I² index. A P value less than 0.05 or values of I² greater than 50% suggested high heterogeneity.

Results
Currently, there are 65 universities or independent medical faculties under the supervision of the Ministry of Health and Medical Education in Iran. Additionally, according to statistics released in 2017 by the Ministry of Health and Medical Education of Iran, a total of 189 967 students are enrolled in independent medical science faculties of the Ministry of Health and Medical Education.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Gap</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Empathy</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Assurance</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Responsiveness</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Reliability</th>
<th>Tangible</th>
<th>Authors Year of Implementation</th>
<th>Line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>386</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>-1.49</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>-1.55</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>-1.54</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>-1.73</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-1.10</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>Kebriyaie et al. 2004</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>362</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-1.67</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-1.54</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-1.62</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-1.46</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>Arbooni et al. 2005</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>Aghamola et al. 2007</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>-1.45</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>-1.34</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>-1.51</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>-1.69</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>-1.18</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>Mohamadi et al. 2010</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-1.45</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-1.57</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-1.40</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-1.42</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-1.31</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>Tofighi et al. 2010</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>274</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-1.26</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-1.22</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>-1.45</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Abasian et al. 2010</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>383</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>-1.54</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>-1.75</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>-1.76</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>-1.33</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>Bahadori et al. 2010</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-1.26</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-1.26</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-1.26</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-1.33</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Khademlo et al. 2011</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-1.39</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>-1.23</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>-1.66</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>-1.26</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>Goharinezhad et al. 2011</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>341</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>-1.17</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>-1.29</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-1.19</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>Enayati et al. 2011</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-1.64</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>-1.33</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-1.89</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-2.02</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>Rasoul Abadi et al. 2012</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Haresaba et al. 2013</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>-1.64</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>-1.51</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>-1.72</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>-1.72</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-1.62</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>Kavosi et al. 2013</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-1.39</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-1.23</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-1.52</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>-1.64</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>Shams et al. 2013</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>Rahimkha et al. 2013</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-1.47</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-1.24</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>-1.96</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-1.41</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>Mohebifar et al. 2013</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-1.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>Khandan et al. 2014</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-0.94</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>-1.05</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>-1.07</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>Seyedasg et al. 2014</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-1.03</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-1.08</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-1.06</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>-1.04</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>Yarmohamadian et al. 2014</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-1.74</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-1.64</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>-2.14</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-1.99</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-1.38</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>Jafarinejad et al. 2014</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>-1.31</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>-1.33</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>-1.26</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>-1.30</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>-1.02</td>
<td>1.002</td>
<td>Gholami et al. 2014</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>-1.11</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>-1.09</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nabilo1 et al. 2014</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>0.891</td>
<td>-1.45</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>-1.47</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.58</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-1.48</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>Nabilo2 et al. 2014</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>453</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-1.283</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-1.291</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-1.338</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-1.344</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-1.113</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Yasbolagh et al. 2015</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>Asefi et al. 2016</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-1.57</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>-1.57</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-1.68</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>-1.53</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>Ghavimi et al. 2016</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Education of Iran studying for different degrees including associate, bachelor’s, postgraduate, PhD, and fellowships. In addition, a total of 16,863 faculty members (educational and research) are employed at this ministry. It should be noted that in Iran, medical courses are not exclusively offered by institutions under the supervision of the Ministry of Health, and there are also 5 other universities, namely Army Medical University, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Islamic Azad University of Medical Sciences, Shahed University, and Tarbiat Modarres University, which are active in this area and are licensed by the Ministry of Health and Medical Education of Iran.

Tangibles

The average gap between perception (status) and expectation (desirable condition) in the area of physical and tangible factors of the quality of educational services based on the SERVQUAL evaluation model apropos of students’ attitude in the medical science universities of Iran was -1.364 (95% CI: -1.434 to -1.293).

The smallest gap in this area was -0.84 (Aghamolaei et al. in Bushehr University of Medical Sciences) and the highest gap in this area was -1.74 (Mohbabbifar et al. in Qazvin University of Medical Sciences). Figure 2 illustrates the mean gap in the tangible dimension of the SERVQUAL questionnaire based on the random effects model. The middle point of each line shows the estimated mean, and the length of the lines shows the 95% confidence interval in each study. The diamond sign shows the mean gap in a reliability area for all the studies.

Reliability

The average gap between perception (status) and expectation (desirable condition) in the area of reliability of the quality of educational services based on the SERVQUAL evaluation model in respect of students’ attitude toward the educational services provided in the medical science universities of Iran was -1.091 (95% CI: -1.208 to -0.973). The smallest gap in this area was -0.01 (Enayati et al. in Sari University of Medical Sciences), and the highest gap was -1.62 (Kavosi et al. in Shiraz University of Medical Sciences). Figure 3 demonstrates the mean gap in the dimension of the reliability of the SERVQUAL questionnaire based on the random effects model. The middle point of each line shows the estimated mean, and the length of the lines shows the 95% confidence interval in each study. The diamond sign shows the mean gap in a reliability area for all the studies.

Responsiveness

The average gap between perception (status) and expectation (desirable condition) in the area of responsiveness of the quality of educational services based on the SERVQUAL evaluation model with respect to students’ attitude toward the educational services provided in the medical science universities of Iran was -1.463 (95% CI: -1.558 to -1.368). The smallest gap in this area was -0.74 (Asefi et al. in Shahrakere University of Medical Sciences) and the highest gap in this area was -2.02 (Rasoolabadi et al. in Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences).
University of Medical Sciences). Figure 4 demonstrates the mean gap in the dimension of the responsiveness of the SERVQUAL questionnaire based on the random effects model. The middle point of each line shows the estimated mean, and the length of the lines shows the 95% confidence interval in each study. The diamond sign shows the mean gap in the responsiveness area for all the studies. Assurance

The average gap between perception (status) and expectation (desirable condition) in the area of assurance of educational services based on the SERVQUAL evaluation model vis-à-vis students’ attitude toward the educational services provided in the medical science universities of Iran was -1.364 (95% CI: -1.438 to -1.295). The smallest gap in this area was -0.65
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(Asefi et al.34 in Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences), and the highest gap in this area was -2.2 (Haresabadi et al.21 in Northern Khorasan University of Medical Sciences). Figure 5 illustrates the mean gap in the dimension of the assurance of the SERVQUAL questionnaire based on the random effects model. The middle point of each line shows the estimated mean, and the length of the lines shows the 95% confidence interval in each study. The diamond sign shows the mean gap in the assurance area for all the studies.

Empathy

The average gap between perception (status) and expectation (desirable condition) in the area of empathy of educational services based on the SERVQUAL evaluation model apropos students’ attitude toward the educational services provided in the medical science universities of Iran was -1.297 (95% CI: -1.383 to -1.211). The smallest gap in this area was -0.60 (Seyedaskari et al.27 in Kerman University of Medical Sciences), and the highest gap in this area was -1.67 (Arbouni et al.10 in Zanjan University of Medical Sciences).

Figure 6 depicts the mean gap in the dimension of the assurance of the SERVQUAL questionnaire based on the random effects model. The middle point of each line shows the estimated mean, and the length of the lines shows the 95% confidence interval in each study. The diamond sign shows the mean gap in the empathy area for all the studies.

Total Score of the SERVQUAL Questionnaire

The average gap between perception (status) and expectation (desirable condition) of the quality of educational services based on the SERVQUAL evaluation model with regard to students’ attitude toward the educational services provided in the medical science universities of Iran was -1.335 (95% CI: -1.433 to -1.237). The smallest gap was -0.77 (Asefi et al.34 in Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences), and the highest gap was -1.90 (Haresabadi et al.21 in North Khorasan University of Medical Sciences) (out of the maximum 5). Figure 7 demonstrates the mean gap in the SERVQUAL questionnaire based on the random effects model. The middle point of each line shows the estimated mean, and the length of the lines demonstrates the 95% confidence interval in each study. The diamond sign shows the mean of the total gap for all the studies. To evaluate the publication bias, we applied the Egger test. The results of this test showed no publication bias among the studies.

Discussion

According to the results of the present systematic review and meta-analysis, the level of the expectations of Iranian students of medical sciences was higher than the quality of educational services provided, and there was a negative gap in all of the 5 dimensions.
The average gap in all the dimensions was -1.335, out of the maximum 5. There was a statistically significant difference between the university students’ expectations and the current quality. This requires universities to put more efforts in order to improve the quality of their educational services in all aspects. In this research, the dimension of responsiveness with a gap of -1.463, dimension of assurance with a gap of -1.367, dimension of tangibles with a gap of -1.364, dimension of empathy with a gap of -1.000, and dimension of reliability with a gap of -0.990.
-1.297, and dimension of reliability with a gap of -1.091 were respectively located in the lowest levels of the quality of the educational services of Iran’s medical science universities. The lowest gap with a value of -0.77 was observed in Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences and the highest gap with a value of -1.90 was observed in Northern Khorasan University of Medical Sciences.

In similar studies conducted on the universities of India, Thailand and China, there was also a gap in all 5 dimensions of the SERVQUAL, which is in line with our research. In a study conducted on universities in Malaysia, there was a gap in 2 dimensions of empathy and assurance. In another study conducted in the United States, there was a gap in 2 dimensions of assurance and responsiveness. The results of a study on the quality of educational services from the perspective of 2 groups of college dropouts and students studying at the VSC college (at Sunshine Coast University) and the College of Higher Education in Australia showed that the educational service gap was higher for the studying students than for the college dropouts.

In the area of assurance, it is necessary for the universities of medical sciences to provide educational, research, and therapeutic services in a genuine and reliable manner in accordance with the changing and growing needs of students and the community. A constructive and suitable interaction should be provided in the dimension of empathy between students and professors and the staff working in the university. Furthermore, student guilds and cultural councils should be established, and active participation of students and student associations in various cultural, political, guild, welfare, and other fields should be encouraged. As far as the dimension of tangibles is concerned, it is necessary to pay more attention to the ergonomics and physical appearance of educational places, appearance of professors and employees, and educational equipment. Studies have shown that there is a direct relationship between the academic progress of students and the educational institution’s environmental elements such as color, light, sound, seating, and open space. As regard the dimension of assurance, with the constant modification and revision of the educational programs, based on the feedback received from the students and the proper interaction of students with professors, as well as the promotion of knowledge and competence of the staff and faculty members of the universities, instilling a sense of trust and confidence in the students should be ensured. When it comes to the dimension of responsiveness, legal and legitimate demands of students should be noted, and it is necessary to be aware of the real needs of students through holding periodic question/answer meetings between officials and students and taking appropriate actions to remove the existing problems and barriers.

Based on the results of the current study, it can be claimed that students are not satisfied with the current state of the quality of the educational services provided, and we are far away from achieving the ideal state and winning their full satisfaction. The differences observed among the 5 dimensions of the quality of educational services can be used as a guide for planning and allocating resources. In this regard, as was shown by the significance of the difference, the 5 dimensions can be divided into 3 priority groups for universities to allocate resources and seek to solve problems and raise the quality of education. Thus, reliability is placed in the highest degree of priority, empathy and tangible dimensions in the next, and the dimensions of assurance and responsiveness are at the lowest priority level. If such a prioritization is made, it is logical to address the dimensions that have the lowest quality first. Along with raising the quality in this dimension, other dimensions will also improve from the perspective of students. This is because the existence of a defect (as opposed to quality) in 1 dimension has an exacerbating effect and reduces quality in the other dimensions. Recognizing the demands of clients and understanding service quality is an important step in reducing the current gap and the difference between expectations and perceptions of service recipients.

Since all these institutions were not studied simultaneously, it cannot be claimed that the present study has accurately examined the gap in the educational services in Iran’s medical science universities. Instead, it can only be claimed that this research gives us an overview of the quality of the services provided in these universities. It should also be noted that the consent or dissatisfaction of individuals in any field may depend on a variety of variables such as ethnicity, culture, personal experiences, level of education, age, expectations, and achieving the desired goals.

Conclusion

The results of the current study showed that there was a gap in all the dimensions of the SERVQUAL evaluation model, and the expectations of the students were beyond their perception of the status quo. In other words,
the students’ expectations were met in none of the 5 dimensions of the quality of educational services. Medical education systems in different countries have undergone various transformations that have affected not only their operational processes but also their goals. These transformations are in consequence of global developments, development of information technologies, and spread of new diseases over the past few decades. It follows that Iran’s medical universities can focus on upstream documents and eliminate the existing gaps by relying on their strengths and opportunities, reducing the weaknesses, avoiding threats and turning them into opportunities. Moreover, they should try to pay sufficient heed to the dimensions with widest gaps and place them at the top of their priorities.
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