Implantation Metastasis of Synovial Cell Sarcoma: Lessons Learned from a Mistake
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Abstract
Implantation metastasis occurs when tumor seeds into a wound or tissue by a significant amount of viable tumor cells. Here we describe the case of a 30-year-old man suffering from pain and swelling of the foot, which was misdiagnosed as a bone cyst. Surgery was performed involving curettage followed by bone grafting. After surgical pathology, the exact diagnosis was revealed as the synovial sarcoma (SS) of the foot. Implantation metastasis of SS in the iliac region, the grafting site, occurred 9 months post-surgery. Although there are a few reports on implantation metastasis of other types of tumoral lesions, to the best of our knowledge, we describe seeding of this type of tumor for the first time. Similar to other studies, we recommend that tumor surgeries should be carried out in a special setting to prevent any spread to or contamination of other sites by the tumor.
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Introduction
Synovial sarcoma (SS) is a malignant mesenchymal neoplasm, which has a partial epithelial differentiation and mostly occurs in young adults. It was common belief that it originated from synovial cells since it frequently occurred in the soft tissue of body joints. However, it is shown that SS does not arise from synovial cells nor it is specific to joints. Consequently, the term synovial sarcoma is now viewed as an oversight.¹

Seeding or implantation metastasis is defined as seeding and subsequent growth of a significant number of viable tumor cells into the tissue.² There are only a limited number of reports on various tumors responsible for the contamination of the graft donor site during autograft harvesting. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no reports on implantation metastasis of SS. Here we report a case of SS, which was misjudged as a simple bone cyst. The cancer was treated with curettage followed by autograft, which resulted in implantation metastasis.

Case Presentation
A 30-year-old man was primarily presented with a simple spontaneous swelling and pain on the dorsum of the foot. Initially, the internist treated the problem as gout. However, the problem persisted and thus radiography and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) evaluations were carried out. A unicameral bone cyst (talocalcaneal and talonavicular joints) was suggested (figure 1)
and the patient underwent surgical curettage followed by harvesting autologous bone graft from the iliac crest. As the surgery was carried out at another center, we had no information on the surgical setting, preoperative planning, or the surgeon’s decision on the differential diagnoses of the disease. The pathology report indicated synovial cell sarcoma and subsequently the patient underwent chemoradiotherapy.

Due to postoperative tumor recurrence, the patient was referred to our center. At this stage, knee amputation was suggested. However, the patient rejected this option and requested another tumor excision attempt. We accepted the request conditioned to amputation if a margin-free tumor excision was not possible. Two weeks later, regretfully, we had to perform another operation for a below-the-knee amputation. After 9 months, during a follow-up visit, a bulge in the pelvis around the previous site of donor graft was observed. Sonography, MRI, and CT-scan evaluations revealed a mass on the ilium at the graft harvesting site of the first surgery (figure 2). Another surgery was performed and the mass was excised. Surprisingly, the pathologic study revealed synovial sarcoma (figure 3). Immunohistochemistry studies (with CD99, EMA and S100 markers staining) revealed monophasic sarcoma composed of only spindle cell sarcomatous component characterized by a high degree of cellularity, nuclear atypia, and mitotic activity. Spindle cells had distinct lobulation and were arranged in fascicles.

Based on the above course of events, we deduced the implantation of the primary tumor cells of the foot to the graft harvesting site. We

![Figure 1: Sagittal plane of the CT-scan shows a soft tissue mass involving talonavicular and talocalcaneal joints that caused bone resorption.](image1)

![Figure 2: Axial plane of a CT-scan after implantation metastasis of synovial cell sarcoma in the iliac region. Note the soft tissue mass which caused swelling contrasting with the contralateral side (arrows).](image2)

![Figure 3: Biopsy of the iliac crest specimen (A, B, and C). Sections show monophasic sarcoma composed of only spindle cell sarcomatous component characterized by a high degree of cellularity, nuclear atypia, and mitotic activity. Spindle cells are arranged in fascicles with distinct lobulation.](image3)
believe that the first surgeon did not consider the possibility of a malignant tumor and used the same surgical devices for both the tumor excision and the graft harvesting. Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for the publication of this case report, including accompanying images.

**Discussion**

The present case report described a case of SS with iatrogenic seeding of a tumor in the iliac region, which was at the bone graft donor site. To the best of our knowledge, there are no published reports on the iatrogenic implantation of this type of tumor. Previous studies have reported cases related to the implantation of giant cell tumor,\(^3\)\(^5\) chondroblastoma,\(^6\) or osteosarcoma.\(^7\)

The implantation in our case occurred at the ipsilateral iliac crest (bone graft donor site) probably due to the contamination of the donor site by tumor cells from the primary lesion site. The evaluation of a CT-scan revealed a lesion in the subcutaneous tissue beneath the scar of the iliac crest harvesting site. There are some theories about the cause of this; the most probable cause is direct contamination of the donor site with tumor cells from instruments or gloves.\(^5\) Some authors suggest that graft harvest causes a vascular endothelial injury to the bone, which makes the environment susceptible to circulating tumor cells to implant and grow.\(^6\) Malignant tumors have a higher seeding ability, however, there are some reports on the implantation of benign tumors.\(^8\)\(^\text{-}^\text{11}\)

In the present case, we were dealing with a malignant tumor. As in many other studies on seeding, the case was misdiagnosed as a benign unicameral bone cyst. Consequently, the surgical procedure was in accordance with the benign tumor treatment protocol, rather than the recommended surgical management for malignant tumors. Interestingly, there are no reports on the implantation of SS. The apparent misdiagnosis presumably originated from a radiographic misinterpretation. In about 50% of SS cases, the radiography appears normal, particularly in small lesions. Some could appear as non-specific juxta-articular lesions. In addition, eccentric calcifications maybe identified. The important point is that in 11-20% of the SS cases, extrinsic erosion of the bone or a periosteal reaction could be a possibility, which could result in the misinterpretation of this tumor as benign.

MRI is the best modality to assess the extent as well as the intrinsic nature of SS (like other soft tissue tumors). On a T1-weighted MRI image, SS appears as a multilobulated heterogeneous mass in soft tissue; its signal is similar or slightly higher than the surrounding muscle. However, on a T2-weighted MRI image, there is a high signal intensity with apparent heterogeneity. CT-scan is also suitable to detect calcification and bone erosion.\(^12\)

In regard to our patient, the initial surgery was not performed in our center, there was no primary biopsy performed before surgery, curettage followed by bone grafting was done, and the exact diagnosis of SS was only revealed after the surgery and the subsequent pathologic study. Tumor surgery requires experience and a special setting to comply with the procedures. The tumor site and graft site must be prepared separately. In addition, each surgical site must be covered by separate drapes from different packs. Harvesting of the graft should only start after the completion of curettage of the primary tumor site and the graft donor site must not be exposed during curettage. Harvesting must be done with a different set of gloves and instruments and in new gowns. Nonconformity may cause serious complications.\(^4\)

**Conclusion**

Implantation metastasis is mainly due to medical errors and is undoubtedly avoidable. Tumor surgery should be carried out in a special setting to prevent any spread or contamination of other sites by the tumor.
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